Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome after GnRH agonist trigger and rhCG luteal rescue protocol

Radu Maftei^{1,2}, Gabriela Simionescu^{1,2}, Sabina Andra Neculai-Valeanu^{*,2}, Edgar Mocanu³, Bogdan Doroftei^{1,2,4}

¹"Cuza Voda" University Hospital of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Obstetrics-Gynecology, Iasi, Romania; ²Origyn Fertility Center, Human Reproduction, Iasi, Romania; ³Rotunda Hospital, Dublin, Ireland; ⁴"Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Iasi, Romania.

Abstract

Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) is a rare, but serious iatrogenic complication of In Vitro Fertilization treatments. Since 1943, when the first cases of OHSS were reported, strategies to prevent it have not been very successful. At present, the most used strategy to prevent OHSS is the "freeze-all strategy", which consists in the utilization of a gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist trigger for oocytes maturation in a GnRH antagonist protocol, followed by cryopreservation of all embryos. In this paper, we present a case of a young woman who developed OHSS after GnRH agonist trigger followed by rhCG luteal rescue protocol. By reporting this case, we want to highlight the importance of OHSS prevention and patients' selection for hCG luteal rescue protocol.

Keywords: OHSS, IVF, GnRH agonist, freeze-all, luteal rescue

Introduction

Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) is a rare but serious and potentially life-threatening iatrogenic complication of In Vitro Fertilization (IVF) cycles, which occurs in approximately 1-14% of assisted reproductive technology (ART) cycles [1, 2]. The OHSS is typically associated with exogenous gonadotrophin stimulation and is only rarely observed with use of other agents (clomiphene citrate [CC] and gonadotrophin-releasing hormone [GnRH]) [3].

Pathophysiology of this syndrome is still a subject of debate, the main mechanism is the release of vasoactive peptides from the concentrations [4, 5].

Because of its homology with luteinizing hormone (LH), in IVF treatments, hCG is the most used trigger for final oocyte maturation, and due to its long half-life, in some patients hCG trigger can cause OHSS. Recent good clinical practice recommends that in patients at high risk of OHSS a short GnRH antagonist

granulosa cells causing an increase of vascular permeability. The ultimate OHSS

trigger is human chorionic gonadotrophin

(hCG) which induces the overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in

granulosa cells and a rise in serum VEGF

The most used classification of OHSS is the Golan *et al.* system [6] (Table I).

protocol with GnRH agonist trigger for final

oocytes maturation and "freeze-all strategy"

proves the safest.

DOI: 10.22551/2016.13.0304.10085

Received: October 2016; Accepted after review: December 2016; Published: December 2016.

^{*}Corresponding author: Sabina Andra Neculai-Valeanu, PhD, Origyn Fertility Center, Human Reproduction, 7D Palat Str, Bl. C2, A/1, Iasi, Romania. E-mail: sabina.valeanu@gmail.com

Case report

We present a case of a 24 year-old woman with history of primary infertility related to male factor (severe asthenozoospermia, WHO 2010) who underwent a procedure of IVF in a center from Romania and presented

at our hospital with symptoms of early severe OHSS. The patient BMI was 20.2, the menstrual periods were regular, at 28 days, here AMH was 3.51 ng/mL. The treatment was carried out with GnRH antagonist downregulated protocol using cetrorelix (Cetrotide; Merck Serono, UK).

Table I. Classification of OHSS based on the clinical manifestations [6]

Form	Degree	Clinical manifestation
Mild	1	Abdominal distention and discomfort
	2	Criteria of grade 1 + nausea, vomiting, and/or diarrhea. Ovaries enlarged 5-12 cm
Moderate	3	Criteria of mild OHSS + echographic signs of ascites
Severe	4	Criteria of moderate OHSS + clinical signs of ascites and/or hydrothorax and respiratory distress
	5	All of the above + changes in blood volume and viscosity, hemoconcentration, coagulation disorders and decreased renal output and function
	6	Life-threatening form

Ovarian stimulation was started on the second day of menstruation with a daily dose of 125 IU of rFSH (Puregon; MSD, Oss) until the 7th day of stimulation, afterwards, the stimulation continued with a combination of 50 IU of rFSH (Puregon; MSD, Organon) and 75 IU of hMG (Menopur; Ferring, UK).

On the 6th day of stimulation, when the leading follicle diameter was 14mm, the GnRH antagonist was started. The oestradiol concentration on the day of trigger (day 10) was 10267.7 pmol/L. Final oocyte maturation was triggered by administration of 0.3 mg triptorelin (Diphereline, Ipsen).

After 36 hours a total of 18 oocytes were retrieved. IVF was carried out for all the oocytes and 14 embryos were obtained (fertilization rate: 77.7%). On day 5, two embryos were transferred and 6 embryos were frozen. During oocyte pick up, 125 mcg of recombinant hCG (choriogonadotrophin alfa; Ovitrelle, Serono) was administered and another 125 mcg in the day of embryo transfer. From the day of embryo transfer, 2 of oestradiol valerate (Cyclo-Progynova, Bayer) and 600 mg/day of progesterone (Utrogestan, Laboratories

Besins International) were started. The day following embryo transfer, the patient was admitted to the emergency department of our hospital with moderate abdominal pain, abdominal distention (abdominal circumference 80 cm), nausea, vomiting and oliguria. Ultrasound evaluation revealed enlarged ovaries (left ovary: 8.7 cm and right ovary: 7.9 cm) and free fluid in the pouch of Douglas. Laboratory results indicated hemoconcetration: hemoglobin: 17.7 g/dl; hematocrit: 52%, white blood cells count 31800 µL and K: 5.31 mmol/L.

The treatment was initiated immediately with albumin (25%) in doses of 50 g infused over 4 h and repeated at every 12 h in the first 2 days, lactated ringers solution (1000 mL/day) in the first 2 days and low molecular weight heparin (Clexane; 6000 IU daily) during hospitalization.

The patient was discharged after 10 days of hospitalization, pregnancy being confirmed biochemically (βhCG on day 10 after embryo transfer was 37 mIU/mL). After two weeks, twin pregnancy was confirmed by ultrasound.

At 34 weeks of gestation, due to uterine contraction and fetal distress, she delivered by

caesarean-section one girl (weight 1900 g, APGAR score 9) and a boy (weight 1700 g, APGAR score 9).

Discussions

We present here a case of OHSS resulting from luteal phase use of hCG and highlight the danger of pursuing such protocols in the circumstances of a patient at high risk of OHSS. The in vitro fertilization therapy was developed as a way to help infertile couples who are otherwise unable to conceive a child but, unfortunately, IVF is not free of side effects and complications.

The most serious complication controlled ovarian hyperstimulation cycles is the development of OHSS. The trigger of OHSS is represented by hCG, which determine the overexpression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in granulosa cells and raises in serum concentrations and can have different grades of severity. The incidence of severe OHSS, which can be life-threatening, is 2-3% [7].

The risk factors for OHSS can be divided in two groups: primary (factors that can be identified before the initiation of stimulation) secondary (factors that becomes obviously during stimulation). In general, patients at risk to develop OHSS are patients with AMH levels above 3.3 ng/dL [8, 9], age below 30 years, low BMI, polycystic ovaries syndrome (PCOS), previous OHSS, E2 levels more than 3000 pg/mL in the day of trigger, rapidly rising of oestradiol levels during stimulation and number of oocvte collected >15. Depending on the onset, OHSS can be late (after more than 10 days after trigger) which is primarily related to the ongoing pregnancy and early OHSS, which is an iatrogenic complication of IVF (in the first 10 days after trigger) caused by luteotrophic effect of hCG.

The patient described here had both types of risk factors for OHSS: primary (AMH>3.3 ng/dL, age <30 years, low BMI) and secondary (rapidly rising of oestradiol levels during stimulation: 2856/6086/10267 pmol/L in day 5/8/11, number of oocyte collected more than

15 [10]) therefore, the GnRH agonist triggering was mandatory.

In such cases should an embryo transfer take place? It has been proven that where a patient is identified as a high risk, the use of an antagonist protocol with GnRH analogue trigger prevents early OHSS [11]. The only protocol to prevent late OHSS is adopting a "freeze all" procedure with cryopreservation of all embryos obtained.

The proponents of embryo transfer in these high risk cases use the so called "luteal rescue" protocol with hCG to correct the luteal defect. The most used protocol administration of 1500 IU of hCG at 35 h after trigger [12-14]. In this case, a higher dose, 125 mcg of rhCG which is equivalent of 3250 IU of were used, on two occasions. Furthermore, two embryos were transferred, resulting in a twin pregnancy and a more severe form of OHSS as well as premature delivery.

Conclusions

This case of an early onset of severe OHSS after GnRH agonist triggering combined with rhCG luteal rescue protocol illustrates the significant risks encountered when long acting hCG is offered to women at high risk. The clinical and ethical debate regarding embryo transfer in these cases must have patient's interests first. Is the risk worth taking? Considering that the patient has achieved a delivery of two healthy children, the purists will say, yes! Yet, as OHSS is a potentially fatal condition caution must be considered before transfer and especially in relation to the use of hCG for luteal support in these cases. We consider the use of hCG a dangerous procedure.

We recommend that all ART centers develop guidelines with strict criteria when embryo transfer is performed based on local experience. While we acknowledge that it is difficult to establish what patients are at risk of developing late OHSS after transfer, in the interest of patient safety and good clinical practice a "freeze all" protocol should be routine where a patient has already deemed at high risk of OHSS. We also strongly

recommend that luteal supplementation with hCG should not be used.

Additionally, we must highlight the fact that in IVF procedures, the most important concerns should be the safety and the rights of

the patient [15], and of course, the delivery of one healthy baby, considering the fact that multiple pregnancies are an adverse outcome of assisted reproductive technologies [16, 17].

References

- 1. Nastri CO, Ferriani RA, Rocha IA, Martins WP. Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: pathophysiology and prevention. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2010; 27(2-3):121-128.
- Youssef MA, Van Wely M, Hassan MA, et al. Can dopamine agonists reduce the incidence and severity of OHSS in IVF/ICSI treatment cycles? A systematic review and metaanalysis. Hum Reprod Update 2010; 16(5):459-466.
- The Practice Committee of American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Ovarian hypersimulation syndrome. Fertil Steril 2008; 90(5):188-193.
- 4. Neulen J, Yan Z, Raczek S, et al. Human chorionic gonadotropin-dependent expression of vascular endothelial growth factor/vascular permeability factor in human granulosa cells: importance in ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1995; 80(6):1967-71.
- Pellicer A, Albert C, Mercader A, Bonilla-Musoles F, Remohi J, Simon C. The pathogenesis of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: *in vivo* studies investigating the role of interleukin-1beta, interleukin-6, and vascular endothelial growth factor. *Fertil Steril* 1999; 71(3):482-9.
- Golan A, Ron-el R, Herman A, Soffer Y, Wienraub Z, Caspi E. Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: an update review. Obstet Gynecol Surv 1989; 44(6):430–440.
- Papanikolaou EG, Pozzobon C, Kolibianakis EM, et al. Incidence and prediction of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome in woman undergoing gonadotropin-releasing hormone antagonist in vitro fertilization cycles. Fertil Steril 2006; 85(1):112-120.
- Ocal P, Sahmay S, Cetin M, Irez T, Guralp O, Cepni I. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone and antral follicle count as predictive markers of OHSS in ART cycles. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 2011; 28(12):1197-1203.
- Lee TH, Liu CH, Huang CC, et al. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone and estradiol levels as predictors of ovarian hyperstimulation

- syndrome in assisted reproduction technology cycles. *Hum Reprod* 2008; 23(1):160-167.
- **10.** Steward RG, Lan L, Shah AA, et al. Oocyte number as a predictor for ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome and live birth: an analysis of 256,381 *in vitro* fertilization cycles. *Fertil Steril* 2014; 101(4):967-973.
- 11. Engmann L, DiLuigi A, Schmidt D, Nulsen J, Maier D, Benadiva C. The use of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist to induce oocyte maturation after cotreatment with GnRH antagonist in high-risk patients undergoing in vitro fertilization prevents the risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: a prospective randomized controlled study. Fertil Steril 2008; 89(1):84-91.
- **12.** Radesic B, Tremellen K. Oocyte maturation employing a GnRH agonist in combination with low-dose hCG luteal rescue minimizes the severity of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome while maintaining excellent pregnancy rates. *Hum Reprod* 2011; 26(12):3437-3442.
- 13. Seyhan A, Ata B, Polat M, Son WY, Yarali H, Dahan MH. Severe early ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome following GnRH agonist trigger with the addition of 1500 IU hCG. Hum Reprod 2013; 28(9):2522-2528.
- 14. Humaidan P, Ejdrup Bredkjaer H, Westergaard LG, Yding Andersen C. 1,500 IU human chorionic gonadotropin administered at oocyte retrieval rescues the luteal phase when gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist is used for ovulation induction: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. Fertil Steril 2010; 93(3):847-854.
- **15.** Crisan O, Speranta I. Patients' rights in pharmacy legislation. *Farmacia* 2014; 62(3):444-450.
- 16. van Wely M, Twisk M, Mol BW, van der Veen F. Is twin pregnancy necessarily an adverse outcome of assisted reproductive technologies? Hum Reprod 2006; 21(11):2736–2738.
- **17.** Belaisch-Allart J. Is twin pregnancy necessarily an adverse outcome of assisted reproductive technologies? *Hum Reprod* 2007; 22:1495.