Complex management of electrical storm in a patient with non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy
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Abstract
Electrical storm represents a major clinical emergency characterized by electrical heart instability with several recurrent episodes of ventricular arrhythmias over a short period of time. We present the case of a 67-year-old male, hypertensive, diabetic who was referred to the cardiology department after a resuscitated cardiac arrest through sustained ventricular tachycardia. The echocardiography revealed a severe aspect of dilated cardiomyopathy and fibrotic aspect of inferior-posterior wall of the left ventricle. The ischemic coronary cause has been ruled out by coronary computed tomography. An implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) was implanted. One day after, the electrical storm and hemodynamically stability worsened requiring high dosage of amiodarone, putting therapies of ICD on “off” and the intubation of the patient. Taking all into account, an urgent electrophysiological study was performed and led to complex radiofrequency catheter ablation of the substrate of the lateral, medium-ventricular wall. Afterwards, the electrical storm did not reappear, the ICD therapies were put on “on” and the patient was rapidly extubated, being discharged with pharmacological recommendations, the milestone being the anti-arrhythmic treatment. Though the treatment of electrical storm is complex but still unclearly defined, we emphasize the need of applying all the therapeutic measures and having a prompt response to this life-threatening condition.
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Introduction
The term “electrical storm” represents a state of electrical heart instability characterized by several recurrent episodes of ventricular arrhythmias over a short period of time [1, 2]. Both ventricular tachycardia (VT) and ventricular fibrillation (VF) could be the triggers for this life-threatening condition. In the last decade, the use of implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) has significantly increased and improved the survival of patients with malignant ventricular arrhythmias. The most widely accepted definition for the electrical storm is represented by at least three different episodes of arrhythmia leading to ICD therapies (antitachycardia pacing or internal shock) over a 24-hour period [1, 3].

Electrical storm affects mainly patients with advanced dilated cardiomyopathy, either ischemic or non-ischemic, as well as patients with different structural heart diseases [4]. This condition represents a clinical emergency that require hospitalization. Besides monitoring the hemodynamic and metabolic parameters, the therapeutic management could be very extensive, from pharmacological medications (anti-arrhythmics, sedation) to ICD
reprogramming and catheter ablation. Thus, we present the case of a patient with non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy who benefited of all modern cardiologic treatment in order to control and cure the refractory electrical storm.

Case report

We present the case of a 67-year old male, overweight (body mass index at 27.5 kg/m²), former smoker, who was admitted to the cardiology clinic for accusing acute onset of rapid, regular short episodes of palpitations. Two months before, he presented a resuscitated cardiac arrest through sustained VT and still under anti-arrhythmic treatment, amiodarone in this case, the patient experienced multiple episodes of VT and syncope.

From the patient medical history, we retained the following diagnoses: arterial hypertension grade 3, type 2 diabetes mellitus complicated with chronic kidney disease and right aorto-femural bypass. Thus, the patient was under chronic medical treatment with antihypertensive, antiplatelet drugs, statin, oral anti-diabetics and amiodarone that was recently introduced.

At admission, the patient was hemodynamically stable, no edema, but presenting shortness of breath at short distances of walking. The complete blood count revealed mild anemia (hemoglobin 11.7 g%), no inflammatory syndrome or myocardial cytolysis, normal lipid and hepatic profile, hyperglycemia (111 mg%), decreased renal function (glomerular filtration rate – 28 ml/min/1.73 m²), mild hyponatremia (130 mmol/l), normal serum potassium level. The resting electrocardiogram showed sinus rhythm, 70 bpm, pattern of left ventricular hypertrophy and one isolated premature ventricular complex which appeared immediately after a QRS complex, having a positive prognosis for VT (Figure 1).

![Fig. 1. Resting ECG: sinus rhythm, 70 bpm, pattern of left ventricular hypertrophy and one isolated premature ventricular complex with extreme axial deviation (seen only in unipolar leads)](image)

On transthoracic echocardiography, we found an aspect of dilated cardiomyopathy (end-diastolic left ventricular diameter 99 mm, left ventricular volume 320 ml, left ventricular ejection fraction 28% by Simpson biplane method), bialtrial dilatation, mild left ventricular hypertrophy, aortic atheromatosis, no pericardial effusion, no indirect signs of pulmonary arterial hypertension, moderate mitral regurgitation and thin, hyperechoic inferior-posterior wall – fibrotic aspect (Figure 2).
Due to the previous resuscitated cardiac arrest, continuation of malignant tachyarrhythmia and echocardiographic aspect, an ICD with DDD pacing function was implanted (Figure 3). During the positioning of the electrode in the right ventricle, the patient experienced five episodes of sustained VT which required external electrical shocks and intravenous lidocaine.

However, one day after the ICD procedure, the patient received more than 5 internal electric shocks expression of sustained VT which required putting the therapies of ICD on “off” (Figure 4). Since the patient had multiple cardiovascular risk factors for coronary artery disease plus taking into consideration the echocardiographic aspect and the presence of ventricular arrhythmia, a coronary computed tomography was performed. The exam revealed an increased Agatston coronary calcium score 1177 HU and multiple plaques on all coronary arteries but hemodynamically insignificant (less than 50%). Nonetheless, the electrical storm continued and required multiple external electric shocks, high amiodarone doses, intravenous lidocaine and magnesium sulfate. The evolution was unfavorable and finally the patient was intubated and sedated due to the continuous hemodynamic instability.
Since under the ICD and maximal medical treatment the ventricular tachyarrhythmia was not resolved, an urgent electrophysiological study was performed. The ECG before the study showed severe intracardiac conduction with right bundle branch block that was not present at admission. This may be explained by the hemodynamic changes (the patient was intubated and received several shocks) and the multiple antiarrhythmic medications that the patient received (Figure 5). The study revealed severe infra-hisian conduction disease and VT of the free lateral wall of the left ventricle with initial induction of at least three morphologies of VT (Figures 6 – 8). The regular rhythm and increased frequency, the wide QRS complex, the electric concordance, the R-wave peak time in lead DII ≥ 50 msec or the presence of R wave in aVR lead are characteristics for VT morphology. In the same intervention, a complex radiofrequency catheter ablation of the substrate of the lateral, medium-ventricular wall was performed, leaving a minor residual myocardial substrate due to the extensive intramural myocardial fibrosis and thinness of the posterior ventricular wall.
**Fig. 6.** Electrophysiological study: induction of one type of VT morphology (monomorphic VT with aspect of left bundle branch block, 180 bpm, negative concordance, superior axe – possible localization in the posterior interventricular septum)

**Fig. 7.** Electrophysiological study: induction of another type of VT morphology (monomorphic VT with aspect of right bundle branch block, 200 bpm, superior axe – possible localization in the postero-lateral wall of the left ventricle)
Afterwards, the electrical storm did not reappear (only rare and short episodes of self-limited non-sustained VT), the ICD therapies were put on "on" and the patient became hemodynamically stable being extubated three days after the catheter ablation. A couple of days after, he was discharged from the hospital remaining with chronic medical treatment consisting of: amiodarone (in maintenance dose), diuretics (furosemide and spironolactone), antplatelet therapy (clopidogrel), beta-blocker, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, oral iron therapy, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and gastric protection. Two months after the electrical storm, the evolution of the patient was good without any complications or tachyarrhythmic episodes.

Discussions

We have presented the case of a patient with electrical storm in whom only the catheter ablation managed to suppress the malignant ventricular arrhythmia despite the maximal pharmacological treatment and ICD reprogramming. In addition, this case is more particular since initially he became hemodynamically unstable and was mechanically ventilated but the recovery was favorable after the ablation, the patient being extubated and safely discharged.

With a continuous increase of ICDs, the number of patients with electrical storm is growing since 10% to 25% of patients with ICD implanted for secondary prevention of sudden cardiac death develop this malignant condition [1]. However, the incidence is lower, less than 5%, in patients with ICD for primary prevention [5].

The electrical storm is associated with higher rates of mortality in both primary and secondary prevention [6]. However, data is insufficient yet to affirm whether repeated ICD shocks and ventricular tachyarrhythmias contribute to cardiac mortality or are the consequence of an impaired cardiac status [1]. A recent review showed that the substrate of electrical storm is due to a scar-related reentry mechanism in more than 80% of cases [7]. During an electrical storm, most arrhythmic episodes are due to monomorphic VT (more than 80%), VF alone accounts for 1-21% of episodes, mixed VT-VF is also rare and the incidence of polymorphic VT is about 2-8% [1]. In our case, the patient presented the latter form of arrhythmia probably due to the dilated cardiomyopathy and the extensive lateral wall fibrosis.

The management of our patient was made according to the European guidelines and standards. Firstly, the ICD was implanted since the patient had dilated cardiomyopathy and recent history of hemodynamically not tolerated VT, plus a good functional condition (class I of recommendation) [8]. Secondly, the
coronary evaluation has been performed being indicated in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and new onset of ventricular arrhythmias (class I of recommendation) [8]. Nonetheless, the recommendation refers to stable patients but we considered important to check the coronary status taking into consideration the medical history of the patient and the persistence of arrhythmia. Even though the coronary angiography is the best method for detecting coronary artery disease [9] we have chosen computed coronary tomography due to the renal impairment, lower dose of contrast and for it is more comfortable for the patient than a coronarography. The patient did not present significant coronary lesions at the moment of the current investigation but this cannot exclude a possible old ischemic substrate of the arrhythmia, the echocardiographic aspect being in favor of this explanation. Finally, the current guidelines recommend “urgent” catheter ablation in patients with electrical storm resulting in ICD shocks (class I of recommendation) [8]. The same indication was for the use of amiodarone but our patient did not response entirely to the pharmacological treatment only.

Though ICD terminates effectively VT episodes, it does not prevent arrhythmia recurrence. Catheter ablation has become a useful and suitable strategy for treating persistent ventricular arrhythmias. In most cases, patients with VT in the context of scar-related tissue and cardiomyopathy present several reentry circuits and different morphologies. In such cases, the cardiac mapping allows the identification and fixation of ablation spots. Moreover, pace-mapping along with the identification of mid-diastolic potentials increase the success rates for VT ablation [10]. However, there is not much data and definitely not on large number of patients to affirm with certainty that catheter ablation represents the best therapeutic solution [11]. However, catheter ablation has significantly decreased the ICD therapies compared to ICD only in secondary prevention [12]. Moreover, patients with prior myocardial infarction who had amiodarone plus catheter ablation had lower event rates than patients treated only with amiodarone [13]. These studies support the therapeutic measures that were chosen in our case and our results bring valuable data for clarifying in the future the best management in such patients.

The cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) is excellent for evaluating the structure and function of the heart. As well, it represents a reliable method for detecting myocardial scarring in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy [8]. In our case, the CMR would have brought useful information especially before the catheter ablation procedure by showing the areas of myocardial fibrosis and thickness of the ventricular walls. Nonetheless, the acute and fast evolution of the electrical storm forced us to perform the ICD and catheter ablation without having the CMR examination.

Other particularities of the present study consist in the association of comorbidities since the patient had type 2 diabetes mellitus complicated both with micro and macroangiopathy. Renal function was closely monitored since the risk of severe impairment was very high due to contrast computed tomography, catheter ablation procedure or the hemodynamically instability. Fortunately, the serum biochemical parameters did not alter significantly during the hospitalization. As well, it is surprisingly that the patient did not present episodes of tachyarrhythmia earlier in life since the left ventricle was severely dilated and the patient was at first manifestations of acute heart failure. The prognosis of this patient remains reserved taking into consideration all the cardiac and non-cardiac comorbidities but the quality of life will definitely be increased. Moreover, the risk of malignant arrhythmia has been reduced after performing the catheter ablation but has not been totally removed as dilated cardiomyopathy is a negative prognosis factor for such conditions and there remained a minor residual myocardial substrate that may be a trigger for VT. On the other hand, the presence of ICD will assure a more safely management.

Conclusions

Electrical storm represents a life-threatening clinical condition which is associated with low survival rates and impaired quality of life. Although the physiopathology of this phenomenon is not completely clarified,
these patients require aggressive and prompt interventions. Besides optimal pharmacological therapy, recent progress in non-pharmacological treatment, such as ICD monitoring and catheter ablation, has significantly improved the cardiovascular outcomes, especially if they are combined with modern investigations such as echocardiography and CMR. Our case has integrated all these possible therapeutical measures and further research will clarify the specific role and timing of each intervention.
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